<b>Experiment 18</b>	From Biosystems Thermodynamics to microbial Lifestyles
Advisor	Kurt Hanselmann, <u>hanselma@botinst.unizh.ch</u>
Reading	Chapters in BBOM 10 <sup>th</sup> 5.4 and Appendix A1 BBOM: Madigan M.T., J.M. Martinko and J. Parker: "Brock - Biology of Microorganisms", 10 <sup>th</sup> Edition, 2003. Prentice Hall.
Objectives	<ul> <li>In this exercise the student will learn</li> <li>how basic laws of equilibrium thermodynamics are applied to biological processes</li> <li>how one can better understand microbial lifestyles if one understands biothermodynamics</li> <li>how one can predict the effects of changing environmental conditions on microbially mediated processes</li> <li>how one can model microbial interactions using Thermodyn<sup>©</sup></li> </ul>
Background	Biothermodynamics is the application of the laws of equilibrium thermodynamics to biochemical processes. Applying these laws under well defined boundary conditions allows one to gain insight into the energetics of microbial life styles. We assume, that microorganisms make use of any naturally occuring chemical process which proceeds exergonically. The evolutionary history contains many examples which illustrate that microbes have acquired a large number of rather unusual metabolisms, e.g. the use of inorganic compounds as electron donors, respiration with sulfate, ferric iron, halogenated hydrocarbons etc., and being able to extract energy from extremely energy-poor substrates like oxalate, formate, etc. With a thermodynamic model approach we can examine and define the conditions under which these reactions can yield energy and beyond which point they are no longer supporting microbial life. In the course of the exercise we will create concepts and define limitations and conditions for a number of reactions which are known to be mediated by microbes. Thermodynamics alone will not tell whether the microbes which could make use of an exergonic reaction will actually be where the reaction could proceed, and it will not tell how fast a reaction will occur. We will examine a few reactions which we derived in experiment 1 (Microbial Diversity in the Rumen) with regard to • the likelyhood with which they can take place (environment) and • the interactions with the host needed to make them happen in the rumen. The reactions with the host needed to make them happen in the rumen. The reactions which how can such that activities of reactants equal 1, i.e. concentrations. <b>Problem 1:</b> Under which boundary conditions is the degradation of glucose by <i>Ruminococcus flavefaciens</i> in axenic batch culture energetically feasible ? In exercise 3a (experiment 1) glucose fermentation by <i>R_flavefaciens</i> to acetate, formate and succinate was described by the stoichiometrically balanced equation 100 $C_{e}H_{12}O_{e} + 48 HCO_{i} \rightarrow $
	The reaction is thermodynamically feasible as long as the Gibbs free energy of the reaction is $< 0$ . This depends on the actual activities of the substrates and products and on the standard free energy of the conversion reaction.

We will recall the background first. From Physical Chemistry we remember that the reaction  $aA^{n-} + bB^{m-} \rightarrow cC^{p-} + dD^{q-} + (p+q-n-m)H^{+}$ will proceed in the direction as written, if  $\Delta Gr < 0$ . The free energy ( $\Delta Gr$ ) is defined as  $\Delta Gr = \Delta Gr^{0} + R \cdot T \cdot \ln Q$ with Q being the ratio of the algebraic product of the activities (concentrations) of the reaction product divided by the algebraic product of the activities of the reaction substratestoichiometric factors become exponents.  $Q = \frac{\left[C^{p-}\right]^{c} \cdot \left[D^{q-}\right]^{d} \cdot \left[H^{+}\right]^{\left(p+q-n-m\right)}}{\left[A^{n-}\right]^{a} \cdot \left[B^{m-}\right]^{b}}$ R is the gas constant=  $8.3145110^{-3}$  [kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>·K<sup>-1</sup>] (concentration basis) T is the thermodynamic temperature in [Kelvin]  $\Delta Gr^{0}$  is calculated from the free energies of formation according to  $\Delta Gr^{o} = \sum_{j} v_{j} Gf^{o}_{P_{j}} - \sum_{i} v_{i} Gf^{o}_{S_{i}}$ (for the meaning of terms see Abbreviations below) The temperature correction follows from  $\Delta Gr_{T_{act}}^{o} = \Delta Gr_{T_{ref}}^{o} \cdot \frac{T_{act}}{T_{ref}} + \Delta Hr_{T_{ref}}^{o} \cdot \frac{T_{ref} - T_{act}}{T_{ref}}$ Abbreviations: Gf<sup>0</sup> standard free energy of formation [kJ/mol]  $\Delta Gr^{0}$ change of Gibbs free energy of reaction at standard conditions  $= -R \cdot T \cdot \ln K^{0}$ change of Gibbs free energy of reaction under actual conditions ΔGr  $\mathrm{Hf}^{\mathrm{O}}$ standard enthalpy of formation  $\Delta Hr^0$ enthalpy change of reaction at standard conditions K<sup>0</sup> thermodynamic equilibrium coefficient Q ratio of actual activity products of reactants gas constant =  $8.3145 \pm 10^{-3}$  [kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>·K<sup>-1</sup>] (concentration basis) R Т thermodynamic temperature in [Kelvin] reference and actual temperature, respectively T<sub>ref</sub>, T<sub>act</sub> product and substrate of species j and irespectively  $P_i, S_i$ stoichiometric factor For our example  $\frac{107}{\left[\text{HCOO}^{-}\right]^{62} \cdot \left[-\text{OOC}(\text{CH}_{2})_{2}\text{COO}^{-}\right]^{93} \cdot \left[\text{H}_{2}\right]^{59} \cdot \left[\text{H}^{+}\right]^{307} \cdot 1}{\left[\text{C}_{6}\text{H}_{12}\text{O}_{6}\right]^{100} \cdot \left[\text{HCO}_{3}^{-}\right]^{48}}$ CH 3COO-• activity of water in aqueous solutions is by convention 1; • Proton concentration follows from pH;  $\left[H^{+}\right] = 10^{-pH}$ •  $C_6H_{12}O_6$  is  $\alpha$  - D-glucose • The concentrations (activities) of the other reactants are defined as boundary conditions.

	The energies of formation (Gf <sup>°</sup> ) are :							
Compound		Formula	Gf <sup>0</sup> [kJ/mole]					
	a - D - Glucose	CH2OH(CHOH)4CHO	- 917.2					
	Formate	HCOO	- 351.0					
	Acetate	H <sub>3</sub> CCOO <sup>-</sup>	- 369.4					
	Succinate	OOC(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> COO	- 690.2					
	Bicarbonate	HCO <sub>3</sub>	- 586.9					
	Hydrogen	Н2	+ 17.55					
	Proton	H <sup>+</sup>	0					
	Water	H <sub>2</sub> O	- 237.2					
	Methane	CH <sub>4</sub>	- 34.4					

## **Boundary conditions**

Choose the conditions for the beginning and the end of the reaction in the batch culture as follows: (all concentrations in mole/l)


Reactant	beginning	end of experiment
Glucose	0,020	0,0002
Bicarbonate	0,030	0,020
Acetate	10 <sup>-7</sup>	0,020
Formate	10 <sup>-7</sup>	0,060
Succinate	$0.5*10^{-6}$	0,020
рН *	6.9	6.3
Hydrogen (dissolved)	variable $10^{-2}$ to $10^{-10}$	variable $10^{-2}$ to $10^{-10}$
Temperature	25°C [298.15K]	25°C [298.15K]

\* remember:  $[H^+] = 10^{-pH}$ 

## Calculating $\Delta$ Gr for the actual conditions at the beginning of the batch culture experiment using Thermodyn<sup>©</sup>

The Excel spreadsheet program Thermodyn<sup>©</sup> allows one to calculate free reaction energies for a number of microbially mediated chemical ractions. Thermodyn<sup>©</sup> is ment to be used as a learning tool to make applying thermodynamic laws in microbiology more understandable to the student. Comparing free reaction energies which are calculated for real conditions (activities, concentrations, pH, temperatures) make thermodynamics in many cases a more useful concept to understand processes in nature than if one has to rely on values calculated for standard state conditions solely. In addition, the graphs will aid in quickly getting an idea on how changes will influence the outcome of a reaction.

H	OW T	O P	ROC	EED WHEN USING THER	MOD	YN <sup>©</sup>		
	Def	fine	proce	ss of interest: e.g. Mixed acies <i>flavefaciens</i>			entation by	
2.	Wri HC H <sub>2</sub> (The	ite p $O_3^-$ + 3, e stoi	orocess $\rightarrow$ 1, 07 H <sup>+</sup> chiome	s as a stoichiometrically bala $07 \text{ CH}_3\text{COO}^- + 0,62 \text{ HCOO}^- + 0,34 \text{ H}_2\text{O}$ tric factors are reduced to 1 glucos	+0,9	93 OOC(	$CH_2)_2COO^- + 0$ all numbers are wr	,59 itten
2	set t	he sa	me way			-		et are
3.	beg	inni	ing of	lary conditions, variable and batch culture experiment see	e unde	er "Bound	ary conditions"	
	into pro con che	o the duct dition mic	e corre t): 1 is ons. R al forr	n number, stoichiometric coe sponding spreadsheet colum the reaction under beginnin eactants may be entered as t nulas (an in the 2 <sup>nd</sup> table)	ins (s g con	stands for ditions, 2	substrate, p for under end	
D	-	ng (	or datt	h culture experiment				
	Reaction No.	(S,P)	Stoich. Coeff.	Enter formula	State	Special remarks	Activity	Variable
	1 1 1 1 1	р р р	0,48 1,07 0,62 0,93 0,59		aq aq aq aq aq aq		2,00E-02 3,00E-02 1,00E-07 2,00E-07 5,00E-07	2 7 7 7 7 7
	1 1			Proton water	aq I		1,26E-07 1,00E+00	
Er	nd of l	bate	h cult	ure experiment				
	Reaction No.	(S,P)	Stoich. Coeff.	Enter formula	State	Special remarks	Activity	Variable
	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	s p p p p	0,48 1,07 0,62	H+	aq aq aq aq aq aq aq		2,00E-04 2,00E-02 2,00E-02 6,00E-03 2,00E-02 5,01E-07 1,00E+00	v




**Problem 2:** Proceed stepwise as outlined above to reconstruct the stoichiometric equation and the reaction conditions from the data given in the table below.

Comparison between glucose fermentation by *Ruminococcus flavefaciens* (reaction 4) and methane formation from formate by *Methanobrevibacter ruminantium* (reaction 3)

Reaction No.	(S,P)	Stoich. Coeff.	Enter formula <sup>(1)</sup>	State	Special remarks	Activity Variable	ע מו ומטוכ
4	S	,	CH2OH(CHOH)4CHO	aq		2,00E-04	
4	S	-,	HCO3-	aq		2,00E-02	
4	р	1,73	CH3COO-	aq		2,00E-02	
4	р	1	HCOO-	aq		V	r -
4	р	1,5	(CH2)2(COO-)2	aq		2,00E-02	
4	р	0,95	H2	aq		1,00E-07	
4	р	4,95	H+	aq		5,01E-07	
4	р	0,55	H2O	I		1,00E+00	
3	s	1	Formate	aq		v	,
3	S	0,25	Water	I		1,00E+00	
3	S	0,25	Proton	aq		5,01E-07	
3	р	0,25	Methane	aq		1,00E-04	
3	р	0,75	Bicarbonate	aq		2,00E-02	


<sup>(1)</sup> reactants may be entered in words or as chemical formula

The result of the comparison is shown in the figure below as a function of the formate concentration.



**Problem 3:** Glucose fermentation by *R. flavefaciens* (reaction 4) and methane formation from formate (reaction 3) and from hydrogen (reaction 2) by *M. ruminantium* presented as a function of variable bicarbonate concentration, and the overall reaction (1) if formate and hydrogen are efficiently removed by the methanogen.

			1						
Reaction No.	(S,P)	Stoich. Coeff.	Enter formula	State	Special remarks	Activity	Variable	Compound	Formula
4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	s p p p p	1 0,48 1,07 0,62 0,93 0,59 3,07 0,34	CH2OH(CHOH)4CHO HCO3- CH3COO- HCOO- (CH2)2(COO-)2 H2 H+ H2O	aq aq aq aq aq aq I		2,00E-04 2,00E-02 2,00E-04 2,00E-02 1,00E-05 5,01E-07 1,00E+00	v	a-D-Glucose Bicarbonate Acetate Formate Succinate Hydrogen Proton Water	CH2OH(CHOH)4CHO HCO3- CH3COO- HCOO- (CH2)2(COO-)2 H2 H+ H2O
3 3 3 3 3	s s p p	0,62 0,155 0,155 0,155 0,465	HCOO- H2O H+ CH4 HCO3-	aq I aq aq aq		2,00E-04 1,00E+00 5,01E-07 1,00E-04		Formate Water Proton Methane Bicarbonate	HCOO- H2O H+ CH4 HCO3-
2 2 2 2 2	s s p p	0,59 0,1475 0,1475 0,1475 0,4425	H2 HCO3- H+ CH4 H2O	aq aq aq aq I		1,00E-05 5,01E-07 1,00E-04 1,00E+00	v	Hydrogen Bicarbonate Proton Methane Water	H2 HCO3- H+ CH4 H2O
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	s p p p p	1 0,1625 1,07 0,93 0,3025 2,7675 0,6275	CH2OH(CHOH)4CHO HCO3- CH3COO- (CH2)2(COO-)2 CH4 H+ H2O	aq aq aq aq aq I		2,00E-04 2,00E-02 2,00E-02 1,00E-04 5,01E-07 1,00E+00	v	a-D-Glucose Bicarbonate Acetate Succinate Methane Proton Water	CH2OH(CHOH)4CHO HCO3- CH3COO- (CH2)2(COO-)2 CH4 H+ H2O



Literature	<ul> <li>K.W. Hanselmann 1991. Microbial energetics applied to waste repositories. Experientia 47: 645-687 Birkhaüser Verlag, CH-4010 Basel/Switzerland.</li> <li>Thauer, R.K., Jungermann, K., and Decker, K. 1977. Energy conservation in chemotrophic anaerobic bacteria. Bact. Rev. 41:100-180.</li> <li>Kurt Hanselmann 1994. Microbial activities and their eco-chemical influence. In: Chemical and biological regulation of aquatic systems, J.Buffle &amp; RR.De Vitre (eds.), CRC Press, Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton</li> </ul>
www. Links	The thermodynamic modelling program Thermodyn <sup>©</sup> used in this exercise can be downloaded from the microeco website <u>http://www.microeco.unizh.ch</u> Please ask for the necessary access number and password during the course. The program is based on Excel, please make sure that you have Microsoft Excel installed on your computer. Thermodyn <sup>©</sup> works with Excel loaded on MacIntosh or on PC-Computers
Practical work	<ul> <li>Details of the layout of the spread sheet and operating procedures are described under "INSTRUCTIONS" on the program. In short:</li> <li>1) Define process of interest.</li> <li>2) Write process as a stoichiometrically balanced equation.</li> <li>3) Define boundary conditions, variable and range of applicability.</li> <li>4) Enter equation number, state, boundary conditions and other required values into the corresponding spreadsheet columns.</li> <li>5) Run calculation and adjust scaling of graph coordinates if necessary.</li> <li>6) Interprete graphs and vary conditions.</li> </ul>
Precautions	Please ask the advisor if you are not familiar with Excel. Do not worry about damaging the program and do not attempt to repair it; it is easier and probably faster to download a new copy from the internet.
Experiences gained	You will learn how to <b>formulate biochemical processes</b> as <b>stoichiometric</b> <b>equations</b> , define the <b>conditions</b> under which the processes might take place and analyze the outcome of <b>simulation runs</b> .
Timing	90 min
Reporting	<ul> <li>Describe and discuss the conclusions from the thermodynamic analysis of the interaction between <i>Ruminococcus flavefaciens</i> and <i>Methanobrevibacter ruminantium</i>.</li> <li>Describe and discuss how the host affects the thermodynamics of the <i>R</i>.<i>flavefaciens</i> fermentation reactions.</li> </ul>
Further Problems	<ul> <li>Problems 1 to 3 see text above</li> <li>4. Ruminococcus flavefaciens in axenic culture <ul> <li>a) In problem 1 which was presented above, we determined the free energy of the reaction varying the H<sub>2</sub> concentration. Define ΔGr for the same reaction at a constant H<sub>2</sub> concentration varying one of the other reactants.</li> <li>b) How would a pH change in the rumen affect the energetic performance of <i>R.flavefaciens</i>?</li> </ul></li></ul>

	<ul> <li>5. Ruminococcus flavefaciens and Methanobrevibacter ruminantium in axenic co-culture <ul> <li>a) Which methanogenic reaction is thermodynamically more favourable for Methanobrevibacter ruminantium the hydrogenotrophic one or the formatotrophic one?</li> <li>b) In vitro, the two organsims live in a syntrophic co-culture. Which are, thermodynamically speaking, the most successful conditions for the syntrophic interaction ?</li> <li>c) What kind of insights into the complex rumen ecosystem can we derive from the theoretical analyses of individual processes ?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Observations and Comments	